arXiv:0805.2069v1 [math.AG] 14 May 2008

MANIFOLDS COVERED BY LINES AND EXTREMAL RAYS

CARLA NOVELLI AND GIANLUCA OCCHETTA

Abstract. Let X be a smooth complex projective variety and let $H \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be an ample line bundle. Assume that X is covered by rational curves with degree one with respect to H and with anticanonical degree greater than or equal to $(\dim X - 1)/2$. We prove that there is a covering family of such curves whose numerical class spans an extremal ray in the cone of curves $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.

Introduction

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety which admits a morphism $\varphi\colon X \to Z$ onto a normal variety Z such that the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ is φ-ample, $\dim X > \dim Z$ and $\rho_X = \rho_Z + 1$ (*i.e.* an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type).
It is well known, by fundamental results of Mori theory, that through every point of X there is a rational curve contracted by φ. The numerical classes of these curves lie in an extremal ray of the cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$. By taking a covering family of such curves, with minimal degree with respect to some fixed ample line bundle, one obtains a *quasi-unsplit* family of rational curves, *i.e.* a family such that the reducible components of all the degenerations of curves in the family are numerically proportional to a curve in the family. It is very natural to ask if the converse is also true:

> Given a covering quasi-unsplit family V of rational curves, is there an extremal elementary contraction which contracts all curves in the family or, in other words, does the numerical class of a general curve in the family span an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$?

By [8] (see also [14] and [10]) there is always a rational fibration, defined on an open set of X, whose general fibers are proper, which contracts a general curve in V. More precisely, a general fiber is an equivalence class with respect to the relation induced by the closure $\mathcal{V}$ of the family V in the Chow scheme of X in the following way: two points x and y are equivalent if there exists a connected chain of cycles in $\mathcal{V}$ which joins x and y.

By a careful study of this fibration and of its indeterminacy locus, a partial answer to this question has been given in [6, Theorem 2]; namely, if the dimension of a general equivalence class is greater than or equal to the dimension of the variety minus three then the numerical class of a general curve in the family spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.

Before the results in [6] a special but very natural situation in which the question arises has been studied in [5]. In that paper manifolds covered by rational curves

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J40, 14E30, 14C99.
Key words and phrases. Rational curves, extremal rays.

of degree one with respect to an ample line bundle H were considered, and it was proved that a covering family of such curves (we will call them lines, by abuse) of anticanonical degree greater than or equal to $\frac{\dim X+3}{2}$ spans an extremal ray (see also [4, Theorem 2.4]).

Recently, in [15, Theorem 7.3], the extremality of a covering family V of lines was proved under the weaker assumption that the anticanonical degree of such curves, which we will denote by abuse of notation by $-K_X \cdot V$, is greater than or equal to $\frac{\dim X+1}{2}$.

The goal of the present paper is to prove the following

Theorem. *Let (X, H) be a polarized manifold with a dominating family of rational curves V such that $H \cdot V = 1$. If $-K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{\dim X-1}{2}$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.*

The main idea is, as in [15], to combine the ideas and tecniques of [5], especially taking into consideration a suitable adjoint divisor $K_X + mH$ and studying its nefness, with those of [6], in particular regarding the existence of special curves in the indeterminacy locus of the rational fibration associated to V.

1. Background material

Let X be a smooth projective variety defined over the field of complex numbers.
A *contraction* $\varphi\colon X \to Z$ is a proper surjective map with connected fibers onto a normal variety Z.
If the canonical bundle K_X is not nef, then the negative part of the cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ of effective 1-cycles is locally polyhedral, by the Cone Theorem. By the Contraction Theorem, to every face in this part of the cone is associated a contraction, called *extremal contraction* or *Fano–Mori contraction*.
An extremal contraction associated to a face of dimension one, *i.e.* to an extremal ray, is called an *elementary contraction*; an extremal ray R is called numerically effective, and the associated contraction is said to be of fiber type, if $\dim Z < \dim X$; otherwise the ray is called non nef and the contraction is birational.
If the codimension of the exceptional locus of an elementary birational contraction is equal to one, the ray and the contraction are called divisorial, otherwise they are called small.
A Cartier divisor which is the pull-back of an ample divisor A on Z is called a *supporting divisor* of the contraction φ.
If the anticanonical bundle of X is ample, X is called a Fano manifold. For a Fano manifold, the *index*, denoted by r_X, is defined as the largest natural number r such that $-K_X = rH$ for some (ample) divisor H on X.

Definition 1.1. A *family of rational curves* is an irreducible component $V \subset \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$ (see [14, Definition 2.11]). Given a rational curve we will call a *family of deformations* of that curve any irreducible component of $\mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$ containing the point parametrizing that curve.
We will say that V is *unsplit* if it is proper.
We define $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ to be the set of points of X through which there is a curve among those parametrized by V; we say that V is a *covering family* if $\mathrm{Locus}(V) = X$ and that V is a *dominating family* if $\overline{\mathrm{Locus}(V)} = X$.
We denote by V_x the subscheme of V parametrizing rational curves passing through

$x \in \mathrm{Locus}(V)$ and by $\mathrm{Locus}(V_x)$ the set of points of X through which there is a curve among those parametrized by V_x.
By abuse of notation, given a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, we will denote by $L \cdot V$ the intersection number $L \cdot C_V$, with C_V any curve among those parametrized by V.

Proposition 1.2. ([14, IV.2.6]) *Let V be an unsplit family of rational curves on X. Then*

(a) $\dim X - K_X \cdot V \leq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) + \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + 1$;
(b) $-K_X \cdot V \leq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + 1$.

This last proposition, in case V is the unsplit family of deformations of a rational curve of minimal anticanonical degree in an extremal face of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$, gives the *fiber locus inequality*:

Proposition 1.3. ([12, Theorem 0.4], [18, Theorem 1.1]) *Let φ be a Fano–Mori contraction of X. Denote by E the exceptional locus of φ and by F an irreducible component of a non-trivial fiber of φ. Then*

$$\dim E + \dim F \geq \dim X + l - 1,$$

where $l := \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ is a rational curve in } F\}$. If φ is the contraction of an extremal ray R, then $l(R) := l$ is called the length of the ray.

Definition 1.4. We define a *Chow family of rational curves* $\mathcal{W}$ to be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ parametrizing rational and connected 1-cycles.
We define $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W})$ to be the set of points of X through which there is a cycle among those parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$; notice that $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W})$ is a closed subset of X ([14, II.2.3]). We say that $\mathcal{W}$ is a *covering family* if $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W}) = X$.

Definition 1.5. If V is a family of rational curves, the closure of the image of V in $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}$, is called the *Chow family associated to V*.

Remark 1.6. If V is proper, *i.e.* if the family is unsplit, then V corresponds to the normalization of the associated Chow family $\mathcal{V}$.

Definition 1.7. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the Chow family associated to a family of rational curves V. We say that V is *quasi-unsplit* if every component of any reducible cycle in $\mathcal{V}$ is numerically proportional to V.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a Chow family of rational curves on X and $Z \subset X$. We define $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W})_Z$ to be the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exists a cycle Γ among those parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$ with $\Gamma \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \Gamma$.
We define $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{W})_Z$ to be the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exists a chain of cycles among those parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$ connecting x and Z.

Lemma 1.9. ([14, Proposition IV.3.13.3], [1, Lemma 4.1]) *Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset and let $\mathcal{W}$ be a Chow family of rational curves. Then every curve contained in $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{W})_Z$ is numerically equivalent to a linear combination with rational coefficients of a curve contained in Z and irreducible components of cycles among those parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$ which intersect Z.*
In particular, if V is a quasi-unsplit family, every curve contained in $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_Z$ is numerically equivalent to a linear combination with rational coefficients of a curve contained in Z and a curve among those parametrized by V. We will write

$$\mathrm{N}_1^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_Z) = \langle \mathrm{NE}(Z), [V] \rangle.$$

Lemma 1.10. (Cf. [5, Proof of Lemma 1.4.5], [16, Lemma 1]) *Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset and let V be a quasi-unsplit family of rational curves. Then every curve contained in* $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_Z$ *is numerically equivalent to a linear combination with rational coefficients*

$$\lambda C_Z + \mu C_V,$$

where C_Z is a curve in Z, C_V is a curve among those parametrized by V and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Corollary 1.11. (Cf. [9, Corollary 2.2 and Remark 2.4]) *Let Σ be an extremal face of* $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ *and denote by F a fiber of the contraction associated to Σ. Let V be a quasi-unsplit family numerically independent from curves whose numerical class is in Σ. Then*

$$\mathrm{NE}^X(\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F) = \langle \Sigma, [V] \rangle,$$

i.e. *the numerical class in X of a curve in* $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F$ *is in the subcone of* $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ *generated by Σ and $[V]$.*

Lemma 1.12. *Let D an effective divisor on X and L a nef divisor. If $(L + D)|_D$ is nef then $L + D$ is nef.*

Proof. Assume that γ is an effective curve on X such that $(L + D) \cdot \gamma < 0$. By the nefness of L we have $D \cdot \gamma < 0$, hence $\gamma \subset D$. But $L + D$ is nef on D, a contradiction. □

2. Rationally connected fibrations

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety and let $\mathcal{W}$ be a covering Chow family of rational curves.

Definition 2.1. The family $\mathcal{W}$ defines a relation of rational connectedness with respect to $\mathcal{W}$, which we shall call *$\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W})$-relation* for short, in the following way: x and y are in $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W})$-relation if there exists a chain of cycles among those parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$ which joins x and y.

To the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W})$-relation we can associate a fibration, at least on an open subset ([7], [14, IV.4.16]); we will call it *$\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W})$-fibration*.

We have a diagram, coming from the universal family over $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & X \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{W}. & & \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, the map i is induced by the evaluation and the fibers of p are connected with rational components. Moreover, both i and p are proper (see for instance [14, II.2.2]).

In the notation of [6], by [10, Theorem 5.9] there exists a closed irreducible subset of $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ such that, denoting by Y its normalization and by $Z \subset Y \times X$ the restriction of the universal family, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\ e\ } & X \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & \swarrow_{q} & \\ Y & & \end{array} \qquad (2.1.1)$$

where e is a birational morphism whose exceptional locus E does not dominate Y. Moreover, a general fiber of q is irreducible and is a $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W})$-equivalence class. Let B be the image of E in X; note that $\dim B \leq \dim X - 2$, as X is smooth.

If we consider a (covering) Chow family $\mathcal{V}$, associated to a quasi-unsplit dominating family V, then by [6, Proposition 1, (ii)] B is the union of all $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes of dimension greater than $\dim X - \dim Y$.

Moreover we have the following

Lemma 2.2. *Let V be a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves on a smooth complex projective variety X. Let B be the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration $q\colon X \dashrightarrow Y$, let D be a very ample divisor on $q(X \setminus B)$ and let $\widehat{D} := \overline{q^{-1}D}$. Then*

1. *$\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$;*
2. *if $C \not\subset B$ is a curve whose numerical class is not proportional to $[V]$, then $\widehat{D} \cdot C > 0$;*
3. *if $\widehat{D} \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \subset B$ whose class is not proportional to $[V]$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.*

Proof. A general cycle among those parametrized by $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in a fiber of q disjoint from $\widehat{D}$, so $\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$.
If C is as in (2), then $q(C)$ is a curve in Y and the result follows from the projection formula.
Finally, if $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray, then either $\widehat{D}$ is not nef or $\widehat{D}$ is nef but $\widehat{D}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{NE}(X) \supsetneq [V]$. In both cases there exists a curve $C \subset X$ whose class is not proportional to $[V]$ such that $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$. Such a curve must be contained in B by [6, Proof of Proposition 1]. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let V be a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves on a smooth complex projective variety X; denote by B the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration and by f_V the dimension of the general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class.*
If $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$, then B is not empty. In particular there exist $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes of dimension $\geq f_V + 1$.

We now give a lower bound on the dimension of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_S$, depending on the position the subvariety S with respect to the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration.

Lemma 2.4. *Let V be a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves on a smooth complex projective variety X; denote by B the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration and by f_V the dimension of the general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class.*
Let $S \subset X$ be an irreducible subvariety such that $[V] \notin \mathrm{NE}^X(S)$. Then there exists an irreducible component X_S of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_S$ containing S and such that

1. *if $S \not\subset B$, then $\dim X_S \geq \dim S + f_V$.*
2. *if $S \subset B$, then $\dim X_S \geq \dim S + f_V + 1$.*

Proof. We refer to diagram (2.1.1). Let $S' \subset Z$ be an irreducible component of $e^{-1}(S)$ which dominates S via e. By our assumptions S' meets any fiber of p at most in points, hence the subset $Z_S := p^{-1}(p(S'))$ has dimension

$$\dim Z_S = \dim p(S') + f_V = \dim S' + f_V \geq \dim S + f_V. \tag{2.4.1}$$

Moreover, notice that $S \subset e(Z_S) \subset \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_S$.

Assume that $S \not\subset B$. Then $Z_S \not\subset E$, hence the map $e|_{Z_S} \colon Z_S \to X$ is generically finite. Therefore, in view of (2.4.1), $\dim e(Z_S) = \dim Z_S \geq \dim S + f_V$, and so (1) is proved.

Assume now that $S \subset B$. As $e(Z_S) \subset \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_S$ and B is closed with respect to $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence, we have $e(Z_S) \subset B$.
Assertion (2) will follow once we prove that the general fiber G of $e|_{Z_S}$ has dimension strictly smaller than the general fiber of $e|_{S'}$. In fact, recalling also (2.4.1), in this case we will have

$$\dim e(Z_S) = \dim Z_S - \dim G > (\dim S' + f_V) - (\dim S' - \dim S) = f_V + \dim S.$$

Let $S^1 \subset S'$ be the closed subset on which e is not equidimensional, let $Z_{S^1} := p^{-1}(p(S^1))$, and let x be a general point in $e(Z_S) \setminus e(Z_{S^1})$. Let G be the fiber of $e|_{Z_S}$ over x and let Z_G be $p^{-1}(p(G))$. Since $e(Z_G) \subset \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_x$, the image via e of any curve in $Z_G \cap S'$ must be a point, otherwise it would be a curve in $S \cap \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_x$, which is a contradiction, since curves in S are numerically independent from $[V]$.
As a consequence, $Z_G \cap S'$ is contained in a finite union of fibers $F_1, \dots F_k$ of e over points $s_1, \dots, s_k$. By our choice of x the dimension of these fibers is $\dim S' - \dim S$.
Let y be a general point of Z_G and denote by y' a point in $p^{-1}(p(y)) \cap S'$; y' is contained in a fiber of e over one of the points $s_1, \dots, s_k$. The fiber G_y of $e|_{Z_S}$ containing y is thus contained in $p^{-1}(p(F_i))$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$.
It follows that the dimension of the general fiber of $e|_{Z_S}$ is the dimension of the general fiber of $e|_{Z_F}$, where $Z_F := p^{-1}(p(\cup F_i))$. Notice that $\dim e(Z_F) > f_V$, otherwise every fiber of p contained in Z_F would have the same image via e.
Therefore

$$\dim G = \dim Z_F - \dim e(Z_F) < (\dim F + f_V) - f_V = \dim F = \dim S' - \dim S,$$

and we are done. □

Remark 2.5. Both the bounds in Lemma (2.4) are sharp. An example for the second one is given by [6, Example 2]: in that example $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; taking as S a fiber of the projection onto $\mathbb{P}^2$ we have equality in (2).

3. Blowing-down

In this section we consider the following situation, which will show up in the proof of Theorem (4.3):

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, H) be a polarized manifold with a dominating family of rational curves V such that $H \cdot V = 1$. Denote by f_V the dimension of the general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class and assume that there exists an extremal face Σ in $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ whose associate contraction $\sigma \colon X \to X'$ is a smooth blow-up along a disjoint union of subvarieties T_i of dimension $\leq f_V$ such that $E_i \cdot V = 0$ for every exceptional divisor E_i.*
Finally denote by V' a family of deformation of $\sigma(C)$, with C a general curve parametrized by V. Then

1. *$-K_{X'} \cdot V' = -K_X \cdot V$;*
2. *there exists an ample line bundle H' on X' such that $H' \cdot V' = 1$;*
3. *if C' is a curve parametrized by V' such that $T_i \cap C' \neq \emptyset$, then $C' \subset T_i$;*
4. *$\rho_{X'} > 1$;*

(5) *if* $[V']$ *spans an extremal ray of* $\mathrm{NE}(X')$*, then* $[V]$ *spans an extremal ray of* $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement in case $\dim \Sigma = 1$, *i.e.* $\sigma\colon X \to X'$ is the blow-up of X' along a smooth subvariety T associated to the extremal ray Σ. In fact, if $\dim \Sigma > 1$, the contraction of Σ factors through elementary contractions, each one satisfying the assumptions in the statement.

Denote by E the exceptional locus of σ. Since $E \cdot V = 0$ the first assertion in the statement follows from the canonical bundle formula for blow-ups.

Moreover, the fact that $E \cdot V = 0$ also implies that any $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class meeting E is actually contained in E. Therefore, if F is a non-trivial fiber of σ, then $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \subseteq E$. By Lemma (2.4)

$$\dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \geq f_V + \dim F \geq \dim X - 1,$$

hence $E = \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F$ and $\dim T = f_V$. So $\mathrm{NE}^X(E) = \langle [V], \Sigma \rangle$ by Corollary (1.11).

The line bundle $(H+E)|_E$ is nef and it is trivial only on Σ, since $(H+E)\cdot\Sigma = 0$ and $(H+E)\cdot V = 1$. Then $H+E$ is nef by Lemma (1.12).
Notice also that $H+E$ is trivial only on Σ. Indeed, let γ be an effective curve on X such that $(H+E)\cdot\gamma = 0$. Due to the ampleness of H we have $E\cdot\gamma < 0$, hence $\gamma \subset E$. This implies that $[\gamma] \in \Sigma$.
Therefore $H + E = \sigma^* H'$, with H' an ample line bundle on X'. By the projection formula $H' \cdot V' = 1$, hence part (2) in the statement is proved.

Now, let C' be a curve of V' meeting T and assume by contradiction that C' is not contained in T; denote by $\widetilde{C}'$ its strict transform. Then

$$1 = H' \cdot C' = \sigma^* H' \cdot \widetilde{C}' = (H+E)\cdot \widetilde{C}' \geq 2,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that every curve parametrized by V' which meets T is contained in it; so we get part (3) in the statement.

As to part (4), assume by contradiction that $\rho_{X'} = 1$. This implies that X' is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}')$-connected, but this is impossible as, in view of part (3), we cannot join points of T and points outside of T with curves parametrized by V'.

Assume now that $[V']$ spans an extremal ray of X'. Let B be the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration.

We claim that $E \cap B = \emptyset$.
Assume by contradiction that this is not the case; then E meets (and hence contains) an $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class G of dimension $\dim G \geq f_V + 1$. Take a fiber F of σ meeting G. Then $\dim F + \dim G > \dim E$. On the other hand, $\dim(F \cap G) = 0$ as $[V] \notin \Sigma$. So we get a contradiction.

Let A be a supporting divisor of the contraction associated to $[V']$. The pull-back $\sigma^* A$ defines a two-dimensional face Π of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ containing Σ and $[V]$.
Assume that Π is not spanned by Σ and $[V]$; in this case there exists a curve C whose class is in Π and such that $E \cdot C > 0$. Let $\widehat{D}$ be as in Lemma (2.2); by the same lemma $\widehat{D}\cdot\Sigma > 0$ and $\widehat{D}\cdot V = 0$, hence we have $\widehat{D}\cdot C < 0$ and so $C \subset B$, contradicting $E \cap B = \emptyset$. □

4. Main theorem

First of all we consider polarized manifolds (X, H) with a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves V proving that if, for m large enough, the adjoint divisor $K_X + mH$ defines an extremal face containing $[V]$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of X.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, H) be a polarized manifold which admits a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves V; denote by f_V the dimension of a general $rc(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class.*
If, for some integer m such that $m + f_V \geq \dim X - 3$, the divisor $K_X + mH$ is nef and it is trivial on $[V]$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray in $\mathrm{NE}(X)$. This implies that $K_X + mH$ defines an extremal face Σ of dimension at least two, containing $[V]$. By [15, Lemma 7.2] there exists an extremal ray $R \in \Sigma$ whose exceptional locus is contained in the indeterminacy locus B of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration. Since $(K_X + mH) \cdot R = 0$, the length $l(R)$ is greater than or equal to m. Let F be a non-trivial fiber of the contraction associated to R; then $\dim F \geq m + 1$ by Proposition (1.3), since the contraction associtaed to R is small, being $\dim B \leq \dim X - 2$.
By part (2) of Lemma (2.4), the dimension of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F$ is

$$\dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \geq \dim F + f_V + 1.$$

As the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes are either contained in B or have empty intersection with it, $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \subset B$. Therefore we get

$$\dim X - 2 \geq \dim B \geq \dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \geq f_V + m + 2 \geq \dim X - 1,$$

which is a contradiction. □

As the last preparatory step, we consider the following special case.

Lemma 4.2. *Let V be a quasi-unsplit dominating family of rational curves on a smooth complex projective variety X. Denote by f_V the dimension of a general $rc(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class. Assume that there exists an extremal ray R, independent from $[V]$, whose associated contraction has a fiber F such that $\dim F + f_V \geq \dim X$. Then $\dim F + f_V = \dim X$ and $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [V], R \rangle$. In particular $\rho_X = 2$.*

Proof. By part (1) of Lemma (2.4) we have

$$\dim X \geq \dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F \geq f_V + \dim F,$$

hence $\dim F + f_V = \dim X$ and $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F = X$; so the assertion follows by Corollary (1.11). □

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, H) be a polarized manifold with a dominating family of rational curves V such that $H \cdot V = 1$. If $-K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.*

Proof. Let B be the indeterminacy locus of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration $q \colon X \dashrightarrow Y$, let D be a very ample divisor on $q(X \setminus B)$ and let $\widehat{D} := \overline{q^{-1} D}$.
Denote by m the anticanonical degree of V and by f_V the dimension of a general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class. Note that, since V is a dominating family, we have $m \geq 2$. By Proposition (1.2) $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq -K_X \cdot V - 1 = m - 1$; since a general fiber of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-fibration contains $\mathrm{Locus}(V_x)$ for every point x in it, we have $f_V \geq m - 1$.

If $K_X + mH$ is nef, then the assertion follows by Proposition (4.1); therefore we can assume that $K_X + mH$ is not nef.
Let R be an extremal ray such that $(K_X + mH) \cdot R < 0$ and let φ_R be the associated contraction. Notice that R has length $l(R) \geq m + 1$, hence every non-trivial fiber of φ_R has dimension $\geq m$ by Proposition (1.3). On the other hand, by Lemma (4.2) we can confine to assume that all fibers of φ_R have dimension $\leq m + 1$. In particular this implies that $H \cdot R = 1$. Indeed, if this were not the case, we would have $l(R) \geq 2m + 1$, hence every non-trivial fiber of φ_R would have dimension $\geq 2m > m + 1$, by Proposition (1.3) and the fact that $m \geq 2$.

If the Picard number of X is one the theorem is clearly true, so we can assume that $\rho_X \geq 2$. Now we split up the proof in two cases, according to the value of ρ_X: first we consider the case $\rho_X = 2$ and then the general one.

Case (a) $\rho_X = 2$.

The proof is based on different arguments, depending on the dimension of the fibers of the contraction associated to the extremal ray R.

Case (a1) The contraction φ_R admits an $(m + 1)$-dimensional fiber F.

Consider $X_F := \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_F$. We have, by Corollary (1.11), that $\mathrm{NE}^X(X_F) = \langle [V], R \rangle$ and, by Lemma (2.4), that

$$\dim X_F \geq \dim F + f_V \geq (m + 1) + (m - 1) \geq \dim X - 1.$$

If $X_F = X$, then the statement is proved. So we can assume that an irreducible component $\overline{X}_F$ of X_F is a divisor and thus that $f_V = m-1$. Notice that $\overline{X}_F \cdot V = 0$, otherwise we would have $X_F = X$; in particular it follows that $\overline{X}_F$ is closed with respect to $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence, so $X_F = \overline{X}_F$.
Consider now the intersection number of X_F with curves in R; since $\rho_X = 2$ and $X_F \cdot V = 0$ we cannot have also $X_F \cdot R = 0$.

Let us show that we cannot have $X_F \cdot R < 0$, too.
Assume by contradiction that this is the case. Then $\mathrm{Exc}(R) \subset X_F$, so φ_R is divisorial by Proposition (1.3). By the same proposition, recalling that we are assuming that all the fibers of φ_R have dimension $\leq m + 1$, every non-trivial fiber has dimension $m + 1$.
Then φ_R is the blow-up of a smooth variety X' along a smooth center T by [2, Theorem 4.1 (iii)]. The dimension of the center is $\dim T = (n - 1) - (m + 1) \leq m - 1 = f_V$. We can apply part (4) of Lemma (3.1) and we get $\rho_X = \rho_{X'} + 1 > 2$, so we reach a contradiction.

Therefore $X_F \cdot R > 0$. It follows that $(X_F)|_{X_F}$ is nef and thus, by Lemma (1.12), X_F is nef.
As $X_F \cdot V = 0$ and $\rho_X = 2$, X_F is the supporting divisor of an elementary contraction of X whose associated extremal ray is spanned by $[V]$.

Case (a2) The contraction φ_R is equidimensional with m-dimensional fibers.

By Proposition (1.3), φ_R is of fiber type and $l(R) = m + 1$. Hence, by [11, Lemma 2.12], X is a projective bundle over a smooth variety Y, *i.e.* $X = \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E} = (\varphi_R)_* H$.
Notice that Y has Picard number one and is covered by rational curves - the images

of the curves parametrized by V - therefore Y is a Fano manifold.
By the canonical bundle formula for projective bundles we have

$$K_X + (m+1)H = \varphi_R^*(K_Y + \det \mathcal{E}).$$

In particular, if C_V is a curve among those parametrized by V, by the projection formula we can compute

$$(K_Y + \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot (\varphi_R)_*(C_V) = (K_X + (m+1)H) \cdot C_V = 1.$$

It follows that $K_Y + \det \mathcal{E}$ is the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ and that $(K_Y + \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot \varphi_R(C_V) = 1$.
The ampleness of $\mathcal{E}$ implies that $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot \varphi_R(C_V) \geq m+1$; therefore $-K_Y \cdot \varphi_R(C_V) \geq m$, hence the index r_Y of Y is greater than or equal to m.

If $r_Y = m$, denoted by l a rational curve of minimal degree in Y, then $\det \mathcal{E} \cdot l = m+1$; moreover, the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$, which is ample and of rank $m+1$, on rational curves of minimal degree is uniform of type $(1, \ldots, 1)$.
We can thus apply [3, Proposition 1.2], so we obtain that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^m \times Y$. It follows that the curves of V are contained in the fibers of the first projection and that $[V]$ spans an extremal ray.

Therefore we are left with $r_Y \geq m+1$. Recalling that $\dim Y = \dim X - m \leq m+1$, by the Kobayashi–Ochiai Theorem ([13]) we get that Y is a hyperquadric or a projective space.

Assume by contradiction that $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray of X.
By part (3) of Lemma (2.2) there exists a curve $C \subset B$, whose numerical class is not proportional to $[V]$, such that $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$. Actually, since $\rho_X = 2$ and $\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$, we have $\widehat{D} \cdot C < 0$.
By part (2) of Lemma (2.4), there exists a component X_C of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_C$ such that $C \subset X_C$ and $\dim X_C \geq f_V + \dim C + 1 \geq m+1$.
By Lemma (1.10) $\widehat{D}$ has non positive intersection number with every curve in X_C and it is trivial only on curves which are numerically proportional to $[V]$.
Since $\widehat{D} \cdot R > 0$, we have that φ_R does not contract curves in X_C, hence $\dim Y \geq \dim X_C \geq m+1$ and so $\dim Y = \dim X_C = m+1$.

Moreover, as $C \subset X_C$ and C is not numerically proportional to $[V]$, we get that X_C is not $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-connected; in particular, for every point c of C, the intersection X_c of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class containing c with X_C has dimension $\leq m$.

We claim that there exists a line l in Y which is not contained in the image of $\varphi_R(X_c)$ for any $c \in C$. Notice that, since φ_R does not contract curves in X_C, through a general point y in Y there is a finite number of such subvarieties.
If $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$, a line joining y with a point outside the union of these subvarieties has the required property.
If $Y \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$, then for a general y the locus of the lines through y is not contained in $\varphi_R(X_c)$ for any $c \in C$, hence a general line through y has the required property.

The splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ on this line is one of the following: $(2, 1, \ldots, 1)$ if $Y \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$ and either $(3, 1, \ldots, 1)$ or $(2, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)$ if $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$. Recalling that $m \geq 2$ we have that, among the summands of $\mathcal{E}_l$ there is at least one $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$.
Consider $\mathbb{P}_l(\mathcal{E}|_l)$; its cone of curves is generated by the class of a line in a fiber of the projection onto l and the class of a minimal section C_0. By the discussion above we have that $H \cdot C_0 = 1$. Moreover, $\varphi_R^*(K_Y + \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot C_0 = 1$, hence $[C_0] = [V]$; in

particular $\widehat{D}$ is nef on $\mathbb{P}_l(\mathcal{E}|_l)$.
Consider an irreducible curve in $\mathbb{P}_l(\mathcal{E}|_l) \cap X_C$; by our choice of l, this curve is not contained in a $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence class contained in X_C, so it is negative with respect to $\widehat{D}$, a contradiction. The case $\rho_X = 2$ is thus completed.

Case (b) $\rho_X > 2$.

Notice that, in view of Corollary (2.3), we can confine to assume that $B \neq \emptyset$; moreover, by part (3) of Lemma (2.2), we can also assume the existence of a curve $C \subset B$ such that $[C]$ is not proportional to $[V]$ and $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$.

We claim that $K_X + (m+1)H$ is nef.
Assume by contradiction that $K_X + (m+1)H$ is not nef. Let τ be a ray such that $(K_X + (m+1)H) \cdot \tau < 0$, denote by Γ_τ a rational curve of minimal anticanonical degree in τ and by φ_τ the contraction associated to τ. Notice that τ has length $l(\tau) \geq m+2$, hence every non-trivial fiber of φ_τ has dimension $\geq m+1$ by Proposition (1.3). Moreover, φ_τ cannot have fibers of dimension $> m+1$, otherwise, by Lemma (4.2), we would have $\rho_X = 2$.
Therefore every non-trivial fiber of φ_τ has dimension $m+1$. In view of Proposition (1.3), we thus get that φ_τ is of fiber type and that the length of τ is $l(\tau) = m+2$; this last fact gives $H \cdot \Gamma_\tau = 1$.
Let W_τ be a family of deformations of Γ_τ.
Since B is not empty, there are $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes of dimension $\geq f_V + 1 \geq m$; let G be one of these classes.
By part (2) of Lemma (2.4) we have

$$\dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{W}_\tau)_G \geq \dim G + (m+1) = 2m+1 \geq \dim X,$$

so by Lemma (1.9) we deduce $\rho_X = 2$, a contradiction which proves the nefness of $K_X + (m+1)H$.

Notice that $K_X + (m+1)H$ is not ample, as $(K_X + (m+1)H) \cdot R = 0$. Let Σ be the extremal face contracted by $K_X + (m+1)H$. We now consider separately two cases, depending on the existence in Σ of a fiber type extremal ray.

Case (b1) There exists a fiber type extremal ray ϑ in Σ.

Let φ_ϑ be the contraction associated with ϑ and denote by W_ϑ a (dominating) family of deformations of a rational curve of minimal anticanonical degree in ϑ.
By part (2) of Lemma (2.4), there exists a component X_C of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_C$ such that $C \subset X_C$ and $\dim X_C \geq f_V + 2$.
According to Lemma (1.10), every curve in X_C can be written as $\alpha[C] + \beta[V]$ with $\alpha \geq 0$; in particular, since $\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$ by Lemma (2.2), it follows that $\widehat{D}$ is not positive on any curve contained in X_C. By the same lemma $\widehat{D} \cdot W_\vartheta > 0$, hence $[W_\vartheta] \notin \mathrm{NE}^X(X_C)$. Therefore part (1) of Lemma (2.4) gives

$$\dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{W}_\vartheta)_{X_C} \geq \dim X_C + f_{W_\vartheta} \geq f_V + 2 + m \geq \dim X,$$

where f_{W_ϑ} is the dimension of the general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}_\vartheta)$-equivalence class.
Moreover, by Lemma (1.10) the class of every curve in X can be written as

$$\alpha[C] + \beta[V] + \gamma[W_\vartheta]$$

with $\alpha \geq 0$.
In particular, since we are assuming $\rho_X > 2$, this implies that every curve $C \subset B$ not numerically proportional to $[V]$ such that $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$ cannot be contained in the

plane Π spanned by $[V]$ and $[W_\vartheta]$.
It follows that $\widehat{D}$ is nef on the two-dimensional face $\Pi \cap \mathrm{NE}(X)$, as $\widehat{D}\cdot\gamma < 0$ implies $\gamma \in B$. Recalling that $\widehat{D}\cdot W_\vartheta > 0$, we thus have that $[V]$ spans an extremal ray.

Case (b2) Every ray in Σ is birational.

Let η be any ray in Σ. By Proposition (1.3), for every non-trivial fiber of its associated contraction φ_η we have $\dim F \geq l(\eta) \geq m+1$. Recalling that at the beginning of the proof we observed that we can assume $\dim F \leq m+1$, we have $\dim F = m+1 = l(\eta)$. Therefore, by Proposition (1.3), φ_η is a divisorial contraction, hence, by [2, Theorem 4.1 (iii)], is the blow-up of a smooth variety along a smooth center T of dimension $(n-1)-(m+1) \leq m-1$.

Let E be the exceptional divisor of φ_η. We claim that $E \cdot V = 0$.
By part (2) of Lemma (2.4), there exists a component X_C of $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_C$ such that $C \subset X_C$ and $\dim X_C \geq f_V + 2$.
By Lemma (1.10) $\widehat{D}$ has non positive intersection number with every curve in X_C. If $E \cap X_C \neq \emptyset$, then there is a fiber F of φ_η meeting X_C. Counting dimensions, we find that $\dim(F \cap X_C) \geq 1$, which is a contradiction as $\widehat{D}\cdot\eta > 0$. So $E \cap X_C = \emptyset$, whence $E \cdot V = 0$, proving the claim.
Therefore E contains $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes and $\dim T \geq f_V$, since φ_η is finite to one on $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence classes. Recalling that $f_V \geq m-1$ we derive $\dim T = f_V = m-1$.

Assume that $\dim \Sigma \geq 2$ and let E_1, E_2 be the exceptional loci of two different extremal rays η_1, η_2 in Σ; we claim that $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$.
To prove the claim, notice that $E_i = \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_{F_i}$ for any fiber F_i of η_i, since E_i is closed with respect to $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-equivalence and, by part (1) of Lemma (2.4), we can compute

$$\dim \mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{V})_{F_i} \geq m+1+f_V = \dim E_i.$$

So, Corollary (1.11) gives $\mathrm{NE}(E_i) = \langle [V], \eta_i \rangle$, hence E_1 cannot contain a curve in a fiber of the contraction associated to η_2; since the dimension of the fibers is ≥ 2 this implies that $E_1 \cdot R_2 = 0$. But then, if $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$, then E_1 would contain the fibers of φ_2 which it meets. So we reach a contradiction, proving the claim.

Therefore the contraction $\sigma\colon X \to X'$ of the face Σ verifies the assumptions of Lemma (3.1), hence there exist an ample line bundle H' on X' and an unsplit dominating family V' on X' such that $H' \cdot V' = 1$ and $-K_{X'} \cdot V' = -K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{\dim X'-1}{2}$.
Denote by $f_{V'}$ the dimension of the general $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}')$-equivalence class. Since a general fiber of the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}')$-fibration contains $\mathrm{Locus}(V'_{x'})$, we have $f_{V'} \geq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V'_{x'}) - 1 \geq m-1$.
Consider the adjoint divisor $K_{X'} + mH'$; if it is nef, or an extremal ray R' such that $(K_{X'} + mH') \cdot R' < 0$ has a fiber of dimension $\geq m+2$, then $[V']$ spans an extremal ray by Proposition (4.1) or by Lemma (4.2), so $[V]$ spans an extremal ray by Lemma (3.1).

Let us show that the remaining case does not happen.
Assume that there is an extremal ray R' such that $(K_{X'} + mH') \cdot R' < 0$ and every fiber of the associated contraction has dimension $\leq m+1$. In particular we have $H' \cdot R' = 1$, otherwise we would have $l(R') \geq 2m+1$, hence every non-trivial fiber of the associated contraction would have dimension $\geq 2m > m+1$ by Proposition

(1.3). Moreover, we have $(K_{X'} + (m+1)H') \cdot R' \leq 0$, since $l(R') \geq m+1$.
On the other hand, recalling that $\sigma^* H' = H - \sum E_i$ and that $\sigma^* K_{X'} = K_X + \sum (m+1)E_i$, we have

$$\sigma^*(K_{X'} + (m+1)H') = K_X + (m+1)H,$$

so, by the projection formula, $K_{X'} + (m+1)H'$ is ample on X', a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let (X, H) be a polarized manifold of dimension at most five, with a dominating family of rational curves V such that $H \cdot V = 1$. Then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of* $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.

5. An example

In the paper [5], an application of the results about extremality of families of lines was a relative version of a theorem proved in [17], which was the first step towards a conjecture of Mukai for Fano manifolds.
This conjecture states that, for a Fano manifold X, denoted by ρ_X its Picard number and by r_X its index, we have

$$\rho_X(r_X - 1) \leq \dim X.$$

In [17, Theorem B] it was proved that, if $r_X \geq \frac{\dim X}{2} + 1$, then $\rho_X = 1$ unless $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim X/2} \times \mathbb{P}^{\dim X/2}$.
In [5, Theorem 3.1.1] it was proved that a fiber type contraction $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ supported by $K_X + mL$ with $m \geq \frac{\dim X}{2} + 1$ is elementary, unless $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim X/2} \times \mathbb{P}^{\dim X/2}$.

In the last few years some progress has been made towards Mukai conjecture; in particular it was proved in [1] that it holds for a Fano manifold with (pseudo)index greater than or equal to $\frac{\dim X}{3} + 1$ if X admits an unsplit dominating family of rational curves.
It is therefore natural to ask if the corresponding relative statement is true, namely, given a fiber type contraction $\varphi\colon X \to Y$, corresponding to an extremal face Σ, supported by $K_X + mL$ with $m \geq \frac{\dim X}{3} + 1$ and such that there is an unsplit dominating family V whose curves are contracted by φ, is there a bound on the dimension of Σ?

The answer to this question is negative, as we will show with an example in which $m = \frac{\dim X}{2}$; it follows that [5, Theorem 3.1.1] cannot be improved.

Example 5.1. *Let Z be a smooth variety of dimension $k+2$ and denote by Y the product $Z \times \mathbb{P}^k$; denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections onto the factors. Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1,\dots,t}$ be points of Z and denote by F_i the fibers of p_1 over z_i.*
Let $\sigma\colon X \to Y$ be the blow-up of Y along the union of F_i. The canonical bundle of X is

$$K_X = \sigma^* K_Y + (k+1)\sum_i E_i = \sigma^*(p_1^* K_Z + p_2^* K_{\mathbb{P}^k}) + (k+1)\sum_i E_i; \tag{5.1.1}$$

denoting by $\mathcal{H} := (p_2 \circ \sigma)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1)$ and by $L' := \mathcal{H} - \sum E_i$, we can rewrite formula (5.1.1) as

$$K_X + (k+1)L' = \sigma^*(p_1^* K_Z)$$

It is easy to check that L' is $(p_1 \circ \sigma)$-ample. Let $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ be an ample line bundle; then $L := L' + \sigma^*(p_1^* A)$ is an ample line bundle on X; moreover $L \cdot l = 1$ for a line l in the strict transform of a fiber F of p_1 not contained in the center of σ.

The contraction $p_1 \circ \sigma$ is supported by $K_X + (k+1)L = K_X + \frac{\dim X}{2} L$ and contracts a face of dimension $t+1$.

Remark 5.2. The difference between the relative and the absolute case is given by the existence of minimal horizontal dominating families of rational curves for proper morphisms defined on a open subset of a Fano manifold (for the definition and the references see [1, Remark 6.4]). Such families do not exist in general in the relative case.

Acknowledgements

We learned of the results about extremality of families of lines in [5] from an interesting series of lectures given by Paltin Ionescu.

References

[1] Marco Andreatta, Elena Chierici, and Gianluca Occhetta. Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 2(2):272–293, 2004.
[2] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. A note on nonvanishing and applications. *Duke Math. J.*, 72(3):739–755, 1993.
[3] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle. *Invent. Math.*, 146(1):209–217, 2001.
[4] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Paltin Ionescu. On manifolds swept out by high dimensional quadrics. *Math. Z.*, 2008. to appear.
[5] Mauro C. Beltrametti, Andrew J. Sommese, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Results on varieties with many lines and their applications to adjunction theory. In *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, volume 1507 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 16–38. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
[6] Laurent Bonavero, Cinzia Casagrande, and Stéphane Druel. On covering and quasi-unsplit families of rational curves. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):45–57, 2007.
[7] F. Campana. Coréduction algébrique d'un espace analytique faiblement kählérien compact. *Invent. Math.*, 63(2):187–223, 1981.
[8] F. Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 25(5):539–545, 1992.
[9] Elena Chierici and Gianluca Occhetta. The cone of curves of Fano varieties of coindex four. *Internat. J. Math.*, 17(10):1195–1221, 2006.
[10] Olivier Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
[11] Takao Fujita. On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 167–178. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
[12] Paltin Ionescu. Generalized adjunction and applications. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 99(3):457–472, 1986.
[13] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai. Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 13:31–47, 1973.
[14] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
[15] Carla Novelli and Gianluca Occhetta. Projective manifolds containing a large linear subspace with nef normal bundle. Preprint math.AG 0712.3406, 2007.
[16] Gianluca Occhetta. A characterization of products of projective spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 49:270–280, 2006.
[17] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On a conjecture of Mukai. *Manuscripta Math.*, 68(2):135–141, 1990.
[18] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 417:141–157, 1991.

Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Casorati",
Università di Pavia,
via Ferrata 1,
I-27100 Pavia
E-mail address: carla.novelli@unipv.it

Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Trento,
via Sommarive 14,
I-38050 Povo (TN)
E-mail address: gianluca.occhetta@unitn.it